EXHIBIT 15

```
Page 1
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                       ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 3
        UNITED STATES, et al., :
 4
            Plaintiffs,
 5
 6
                                 : Case No.
           v.
 7
        GOOGLE, LLC,
                          : 1:23-cv-00108
 8
            Defendant.
9
                                       Monday, March 4, 2024
10
                                            Washington, D.C.
11
      Job No. CS6484199
12
     Videotaped Deposition of:
13
                     WAYNE D. HOYER, Ph.D.,
14
     called for oral examination by counsel for the
15
     Defendant, pursuant to notice, at the United States
16
     Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 450 Fifth
17
     Street, Northwest, Suite 11-248, Washington,
     D.C. 20001, before Christina S. Hotsko, RPR, CRR, of
18
19
     Veritext Legal Solutions, a Notary Public in and for
     the District of Columbia, beginning at 8:33 a.m.,
20
     when were present on behalf of the respective
21
2.2
     parties:
```

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES	1 PROCEEDINGS
2 On behalf of Plaintiffs: AARON SHEANIN, ESQUIRE	2 (Hoyer Deposition Exhibits 1 and 2
3 U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division	
4 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 10-0101	3 premarked for identification and attached
San Francisco, California 5 (415) 229-2930	4 to the transcript.)
aaron.shearnin@usdoj.gov	5 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Good morning. We're
6 CHASE PRITCHETT, ESQUIRE	6 going on the record at 8:33 a.m. on March 3rd
7 United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division	7 I'm sorry, March 4th, 2024.
8 450 Fifth Street, Northwest, Suite 11-248	8 This is media 1 of the video-recorded
Washington, D.C. 20001 9 (202) 307-0924	9 deposition of Professor Wayne Hoyer, taken by
chase.pritchett@usdoj.gov	10 counsel for the plaintiff in the matter of United
11 On behalf of Defendant:	11 States
MEREDITH R. DEARBORN, ESQUIRE 12 Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison, LLP	MR. SHEANIN: Counsel for defendant.
535 Mission Street, 24th Floor 13 San Francisco, California 94105	13 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: I'm sorry.
(628) 432-5113	14 by counsel for defendant in the matter
14 mdearborn@paulweiss.com 15 ANITA Y. LIU, ESQUIRE	15 of United States, et al., versus Google, LLC,
Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison, LLP 16 2001 K Street, Northwest	
Washington, DC 20006-1047	16 filed in the District Court of the Eastern
17 (202) 223-4363 aliu@paulweiss.com	17 District of Virginia, case
18 19 Also Present:	18 number 1:23-CV-00108-LMB-JFA.
Warren Brey, Video Technician	The location of the deposition is at the
20 Suzanne Majewski, Economist, DOJ Antitrust Division Elizabeth Aramayo, DOJ Antitrust Division	20 DOJ Antitrust Division Offices, 450 Fifth Street,
21 Claire Cushman, DOJ Antitrust Division	21 Northwest, Washington, D.C.
Marie Lise Levry, DOJ Antitrust Division 22	22 My name is Warren Brey representing
Page 3	Page 5
1 CONTENTS	1 Veritext Legal Solutions. I'm the videographer.
2 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE	2 The court reporter is Christina Hotsko from the
3 Counsel for Defendant 06	3 firm of Veritext Legal Solutions.
4 Counsel for Plaintiffs 457	4 Counsel, please introduce yourselves for
5	5 the record.
6	6 MS. DEARBORN: Meredith Dearborn,
7 HOYER DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: PAGE	7 Paul Weiss, for Google.
8 Exhibit 1 Hoyer Expert Report and Errata 04	
9 Exhibit 2 Simonson Expert Report 04	8 MS. LIU: Anita Liu from Paul Weiss for
10 Exhibit 3 Research Article 198	9 Google. L-i-u.
11 Exhibit 4 Advertiser Perceptions Website Printout 238	MR. SHEANIN: Aaron Sheanin on behalf of
12 Exhibit 5 Article, Service brand relationship 328	11 the United States.
quality: Hot or cold?	MR. PRITCHETT: Chase Pritchett on behalf
quanty. Hot of cold:	13 of the United States.
	14 MS. MAJEWSKI: Suzanne Majewski on behalf
14	15 of the United States.
15	16 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: And court reporter,
16	17 please swear in the witness.
16	
17	-
17 18	18 Whereupon,
17 18 19	18 Whereupon, 19 WAYNE D. HOYER, Ph.D.,
17 18 19 20	18 Whereupon, 19 WAYNE D. HOYER, Ph.D., 20 being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to
17 18 19	18 Whereupon, 19 WAYNE D. HOYER, Ph.D.,

Page 374 1 at 3:28 p.m.

- 2 (A recess was taken.)
- 3 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We're now back on the
- 4 record at 3:42 p.m. You may proceed.
- 5 MS. DEARBORN: Thank you.
- 6 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- 7 Q. Welcome back, Dr. Hoyer.
- 8 Okay. When we broke, I had just turned
- 9 to paragraph 76 in your report. Do you have that
- 10 in front of you?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. The second sentence in this paragraph
- 13 says, "When the cost of a display ad tool
- 14 increases, a key (and perhaps the most common)
- 15 option for advertisers is to switch to another
- 16 display ad tool."
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. I notice there's no citation in that
- 20 sentence.
- What is that statement based on?
- A. It's based on my opinion. The key thing,

18 to the two questions that I asked, and I just 19 asked it to clean up the record.

Q. Okay. I'm so sorry to do this. This is

17 this, because you actually gave different answers

16 literally just for a clean record. I have to ask

Q. And I think I botched my question, so let

What is your basis for believing that

5 or less common than switching to a different

10 expert opinion, that's not the key aspect of my

11 criticism. My key criticism is that that is a

12 very viable option that is not given in the

6 advertising type?

14 BY MS. DEARBORN:

8 and answered.

13 survey.

15

7

4 switching between display ad tools is more common

MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form. Asked

THE WITNESS: Yes, as I say, based on my

2 me ask it again just to get a clean record.

20 My question is, what is your basis for

21 believing that switching between display ad tools

22 is more common or less common than switching to a

Page 375

- 1 whether it's the most common option or not, is
- 2 that it's not included as an option. And so it is
- 3 a -- by -- "perhaps the most common" meant just
- 4 that that's something -- there's different
- 5 competitors that you might switch to, but that's
- 6 not an option here.
- 7 Q. So you say it's -- that the statement is
- 8 based on your opinion.
- 9 What is the basis of your opinion?
- 10 A. Well, based on my knowledge of marketing,
- 11 that when -- if the cost of one alternative
- 12 increases, it is also a distinct possibility --
- 13 and I say perhaps; I didn't say it is -- that
- 14 perhaps it could be a very common option to switch
- 15 to a different programmatic display tool.
- 16 Q. What is your basis for believing that
- 17 switching between display ad tools is more common
- 18 or less common than switching to a different
- 19 display ad type?
- 20 A. I have no evidence. I'm simply trying to
- 21 point out that it is an option, a very viable
- 22 option that could occur.

Page 377

Page 376

- 1 different advertising type for advertisers?
- 2 MR. SHEANIN: Objection. Form. Asked 3 and answered.
- THE WITNESS: And as I said, the key to my opinion or my criticism is not whether or not
- 6 it's the most common. It's that it is an option
- 7 and it's a viable option and it's not an option in
- 8 the survey.
- 9 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- 10 Q. But you don't have a basis to say one way
- 11 or another what options available to advertisers
- 12 are more common than other options?
- 13 MR. SHEANIN: Objection. Form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- again, that's
- 15 not central to my opinion. That's why it's in
- 16 parentheses.
- 17 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- 18 Q. Okay. I understand it's not central to
- 19 your --
- 20 A. The key option for advertisers to switch
- 21 to another display tool.
- Q. I'm just trying to get to the basis for

Page 378 1 your opinions, Dr. Hoyer, regardless of whether

- 2 it's central or not.
- Is it fair to say that you have no basis
- 4 to say one way or the other what the most common
- 5 options are for advertisers?
- 6 MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Again, most common is not
- 8 the critical thing. The key thing: It's a viable
- 9 option, as it says in my response. Whether it's
- 10 most common or not doesn't matter. The fact that
- 11 it is a viable option and it's not included makes
- 12 the question biased.

1 ad display tool?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

12

21

22

2 BY MS. DEARBORN:

7 I'm asking. All right?

13 asked and answered.

16 BY MS. DEARBORN:

15 matter in terms of my opinion.

- 13 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- Q. And you haven't done an evaluation one
- 15 way or the other as to what the most common
- 16 options are for advertisers in response to an
- 17 increase in the cost of a display ad tool, right?
- 18 MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.

Q. I'm using your words, Dr. --

10 in response to an increase in the cost of a

11 display ad tool for advertisers, correct?

Q. -- Hoyer, and I'm attempting to

6 understand the basis for them. That's really all

So you haven't done an evaluation one way

MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form. And

THE WITNESS: I have not, but it doesn't

Q. Okay. Is it your opinion that it would

MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand

18 be impossible to test advertisers' diversion to

19 another advertising type because it is an option

20 for them to switch to another display ad tool?

9 or another as to what the most common options are

- 19 THE WITNESS: I mean, anyone that knows
- 20 anything about advertising could tell you that
- 21 it's an option that they have. It's common sense.
- 22 Are you saying they would never switch to another

1 that question.

- 2 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- Q. Sure. Well, this is a -- you are
- 4 claiming that this question is biased because the
- 5 most common -- or a key option for advertisers is

Page 380

Page 381

- 6 to switch to another display ad tool and not to a
- 7 different advertising type, right?
- 8 MR. SHEANIN: Objection. Form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Well, as I just said, it's
- 10 a viable option. So what is your question?
- 11 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 Is it your opinion that it would be
- 14 impossible to test advertisers' diversion to
- 15 another advertising type because it is also an
- 16 option for them to switch to another display ad
- 17 tool?
- 18 MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: You can do it. He did it,
- 20 but it's not reliable. It's not what reflects the
- 21 realistic marketplace condition.
- 22

Page 379

1 BY MS. DEARBORN:

- 2 Q. Do you think it's unrealistic for the
- 3 cost of something to go up?
- A. I think it's unrealistic for the cost of
- 5 the entire -- all of programmatic display
- 6 advertising at the same time, yes, which is what
- 7 the question states.
- Q. What other display ad tools are available
- 9 to advertisers in addition to those provided by
- 10 Google?
- 11 A. Well, there's a whole list of them in
- 12 Simonson's report.
- 13 You've already asked me that, and I don't
- 14 remember the names.
- 15 Q. We've talked about your experience
- 16 teaching marketing courses over the course of the
- 17 day, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Based on what you know, is it possible
- 20 that a company wanting to advertise its services
- 21 would use both a banner ad on a website and also
- 22 an ad on Facebook to advertise their products?

96 (Pages 378 - 381)

Page 382

- 1 MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.
- 2 THE WITNESS: Sure. That's good
- 3 advertising. You use different tools to reach
- 4 different aspects of your target market.
- 5 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- Q. Based on your experience, is it fairly
- 7 common for advertisers to use multiple advertising
- 8 types to reach their customers?
- A. Yes. But that's not my -- the
- 10 understanding of the case. The case is switching
- 11 between different ad tools, not to other
- 12 advertising types.
- 13 Q. And again, you're -- strike that.
- 14 All right. Looking at paragraph 77 in
- 15 your report.
- 16 So in this paragraph, you question the
- 17 sort of order of Dr. Simonson's questions, right?
- A. Yes. 18

3

14

15

4 Foundation.

8 what's occurring here.

9 BY MS. DEARBORN:

13 of these questions, correct?

- 19 Q. Do any of the sources that you cite in
- 20 footnote 133 -- I'm sorry, 143 here state that
- 21 respondents would have been more likely to select
- 22 that they would substantially increase spending

1 because they were first asked a question about

6 context of that specific question, but they talk

Q. And I believe I know the answer to this

7 specifically about priming effects, which is

11 question, but you didn't conduct a survey that

12 would eliminate what you call the priming effects

MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.

17 upon Simonson to demonstrate that in his survey if

THE WITNESS: As I said, it was not part 16 of my assignment, but it would have been incumbent

MR. SHEANIN: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: The sources are not in the

2 whether they would divert spending?

1 of digital advertising they would divert spending

Page 384

Page 385

- 2 and then asked them how much they would divert,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it your opinion that Professor
- 6 Simonson should not have asked three questions
- 7 about diversion?
- 8 A. I would have to give thought to that.
- 9 But yes, it's telling them -- it's focusing them
- 10 on spending, which increases their likelihood of
- 11 increasing their spending later on.
- 12 Q. Are you --
- 13 A. Perhaps he could have separated with
- 14 other questions to divert them away from that, but
- 15 I would need to give some thought of how to
- 16 accomplish that.
- Q. Is your issue that three questions is 17
- 18 just too many?
- 19 A. It's not too many. It's in a row, you
- 20 know, spend, spend, spend. And it primes them, as
- 21 I say, to increase their advertising spending.
- 22 And related to the demand effects, it's cluing me

Page 383

- 1 in: Ah, they want me to increase my spending.
 - 2 And so that's -- the demand effect works in there
 - 3 as well.
 - O. Are --4
 - 5 A. That's part of the priming effect.
 - 6 Q. Are you suggesting that Professor
 - 7 Simonson should have asked about the extent of
 - 8 diversion before asking respondents whether they
 - 9 would divert?
 - 10 MR. SHEANIN: Objection. Form.
 - THE WITNESS: No. I'm just saying that 11
 - 12 perhaps he could have -- and again, I would need
 - 13 to give this some thought. He could have asked
 - 14 questions in between that so that it breaks up the
 - 15 sequence of spend, spend, spend.
 - 16 BY MS. DEARBORN:
 - 17 Q. Earlier in your report you critique
 - 18 Dr. Simonson for switching between perspectives,
 - 19 right?
 - 20 A. Yes.
 - 21 Q. Wouldn't asking questions about diverting
 - 22 and then switching to a different topic and then

19 BY MS. DEARBORN:

18 he had pretested properly.

- Q. Okay. Now, you take issue with the fact
- 21 that respondents were first asked if they would
- 22 divert spending and then asked them to which types

97 (Pages 382 - 385)

Page 386

1 switching back to diversion also be confusing or

- 2 taxing to respondents?
- 3 MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.
- 4 THE WITNESS: The prospective issue is
- 5 about, is it my unit, is it me, is it my company?
- 6 This is not -- it's still focused on what you
- 7 would do here, so it's not changing perspective.
- 8 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- 9 Q. So it's taxing to change perspectives in
- 10 questions but not to change topics?
- 11 A. Well, every question changes topics in
- 12 the survey. I'm just saying the sequence here is
- 13 that it's priming responding, and it's suggesting
- 14 to them that increasing my spending is important
- 15 and, therefore, that could have inflated their
- 16 answers.
- 17 Q. How would you have designed a survey that
- 18 asked respondents whether they would do something
- 19 and then tests how much they would do it --
- 20 MR. SHEANIN: Objection to form.
- 21 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- Q. -- without priming them?

Page 388

- A. I -- not to my -- I can't tell you.
- 2 There's hundreds of studies I've done. I would
- 3 hope I did not, but I can't remember.
- 4 Q. Okay. In paragraph 77(b), you take issue
- 5 with Professor Simonson's slider in the answer
- 6 choices for high spend, question 7, and then the
- 7 corresponding ones in the low spend in the agency
- 8 survey, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. You take issue with the fact that it
- 11 starts -- the slider starts with keep the same as
- 12 zero?
- 13 A. Yes. Well, two issues. That it starts
- 14 with zero and it's not the full continuum. They
- 15 don't -- he doesn't have the ability to decrease.
- 16 Q. Right. So you think that the zero in
- 17 that sliding scale should have been labeled
- 18 decrease?
- 19 A. No. It -- well, it shouldn't have
- 20 been -- I'd have to -- there should be a scale
- 21 that goes from decrease up to increase. In the
- 22 middle -- it could be a bipolar scale where it's

Page 387

Page 389

- 1 A. As I said --
- 2 MR. SHEANIN: Same objection.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 4 You could perhaps break it up and ask a
- 5 simple question in between. I haven't given it
- 6 extensive thought, but -- I would need some time
- 7 to develop that. But I would be concerned about
- 8 that.
- 9 And by the way, I believe it also extends
- 10 to later questions, too. Like I believe question
- 11 9 is -- 8 and 9 further are along the same lines,
- 12 if I remember correctly.
- 13 BY MS. DEARBORN:
- 14 Q. In your experience as a marketing expert,
- 15 have you ever seen a survey that asks three
- 16 questions in a row about a particular topic?
- 17 A. Yes, I have. And it would be a criticism
- 18 of my review. That's why we talk about priming in
- 19 research, because it is an issue.
- Q. Have you ever conducted a survey that
- 21 asks three questions in a row about a particular
- 22 topic, to your knowledge?

- 1 negative numbers and zero is the middle. But it
- 2 wouldn't need ten points in that case.
- 3 Q. In order to get to question 7,
- 4 respondents had to answer that they would have
- 5 diverted spending to another advertising type,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So why would it have made sense to label
- 9 one end of the slider as decreased if they had
- 10 already indicated that they would divert spending?
- 11 A. Because the set of ad tools is a whole
- 12 set that -- it might be, if you're suddenly not
- 13 going to use display advertising, you could
- 14 possibly increase some and decrease others. You
- 15 could completely re-evaluate your entire set of
- 16 tools, and it may be some of the other tools you
- 17 also -- you decide to decrease and increase
- 18 others, put more emphasis on YouTube ads or -- and
- 19 then allocate spending less to other tools.
- 20 It doesn't automatically mean you
- 21 increase everything that you're going to divert
- 22 to.

	Page 458
1	Q. How would you go about determining
2	whether or not different people from the same
3	company or from different business units within
4	the same company took the survey?
5	A. You would need a question or data on
	that. And in his instructions, he it is
	completely anonymous. And looking back at the
	backup data, there was no question on what company
	they were from, so there's no way to evaluate
	that.
11	MR. SHEANIN: Thank you. I have no
12	further questions.
13	MS. DEARBORN: Nothing further.
14	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Okay. This now ends
15	the deposition of Dr. Wayne Hoyer. We're off the
16	record at 5:16 p.m.
17	(Whereupon, at 5:16 p.m., the videotaped
18	deposition of WAYNE D. HOYER, Ph.D., was
19	concluded.)
20	concluded.)
21	
22	
	Page 459
1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, CHRISTINA S. HOTSKO, the officer before
3	
- 1	certify that the witness whose testimony appears in
5	the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that
6	the testimony of said witness was taken by me in
7	stenotypy and thereafter reduced to typewriting under
8	my direction; that said statement is a true record of
9	the proceedings; that I am neither counsel for,
10	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the
11	action in which this statement was taken; and,
- 1	further, that I am not a relative or employee of any
- 1	counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto,
	nor financially or otherwise interested in the
- 1	outcome of this action.
	Dated: March 6, 2024
17	
'	MA-LU ~
18	CHRISTINA S. HOTSKO
19	Notary Public in and for the
20	District of Columbia
- 1	My commission expires:
22	1 January 2027

116 (Pages 458 - 459)